

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/448,856 | 11/23/1999 | ASHRAF W. LOTFI | LOTFI-22-2 5530 | | |
| 27964 | 7590 01/23/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| HITT GAINES P.C. | | | NADAV, ORI | | |
| P.O. BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI 2811 | | |
| | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action Application No. Cog4488.855 LOFFET AL Examin r Art Unit On loady Cog4488.856 LOFFET AL Examin r Art Unit On loady Cog4488.856 LOFFET AL Examin r Art Unit On loady Cog4488.856 LOFFET AL Examin r Art Unit On loady Cog4488.856 LOFFET AL Examin r Art Unit Cog4488 LOFFET AL Examin r Art Unit Cog4488 LOFFET AL Examin r Cog4488 LOFFET AL Examin r Cog4488 LOFFET AL Examin r Cog4488 LOFFET AL Examination (RCC) in compliance with 37 CPR 1.114. The period for repy expires 2_mostline from the mailing date of the final rejection. (Ni) Collect Transition (RCC) in Compliance with 37 CPR 1.114. The period for repy expires 2_mostline from the mailing date of the final rejection. (Ni) Collect RCC) in Compliance with 37 CPR 1.1146. Art Unit Collect RCC in Compliance with 37 CPR 1.1146. Collect RCC in C | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | LF. | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Examin r or nadav 2811 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheef with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE ITERS TREPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CORD 07(n) from the part of the steep of the steep in the period of the final rejection only check THIS BOX WHEN THE ITERS TREPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CORD 07(n) from the check for purposes of determining the period of the final rejection with the final deteropropose of determining the period of the final rejection on the part of the period of the final rejection on the period of the seed for purposes of determining the period of the final rejection on the period of the final rejection on the final office action, or (2) as set forth in (5) above, if checked Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they arise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 4. | | | | |
| Examin r | Advisory Action | 09/448,856 | LOTFI ET AL. | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.136 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.136 (a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the maling date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires 2 months from the maling date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the studyor period price year learn the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the studyor period for reply expire later than \$1/4 Miles (Market Market Mark | • | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandoment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may apply be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) 3 The period for reply expires 2_months from the maining date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires 2_months from the maining date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f). EXEMPLIANCE OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MPEP 766.07(f). The second of the secon | | ori nadav | 2811 | | | | | |
| Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3_morths from the mailing date of the final rejection. (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. (NIX CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filed to the date for the purposes of the section of the section of the purposes of the filed to purpose of the section of the purpose of the filed purposes of the purposes of the filed | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| a) | Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe | avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh | cation. A proper replication of the categories and categories the applications. | ly to a ation in | | | | |
| b) | PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) deceded to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more content of the shortened (b) above, if checked. | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (| ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | pecause: | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | ner consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| issues for appeal; and/or (d) | , , | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | in better form for appeal by mat | terially reducing or si | mplifying the | | | | |
| 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claim | ns. | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ | | | | | | | | |
| application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attachment</u> . 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>44-47 and 49-54.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | d be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed | amendment | | | | |
| raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | sidered but does NO | T place the | | | | |
| explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8 The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which wer | e newly | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | = | and an | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | · | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-47 and 49-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | Claim(s) rejected: <u>44-47 and 49-54</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: ORI NAD AV Autot example: | 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap | proved by the Exami | iner. | | | | |
| 10. Other: ORI NADAU Ratest examine; | 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | | | | | |
| nated example, | 10. Other: | CL. | Nat | | | | | |
| natest examine, | | ORI | NADAV | | | | | |
| | | nate | nt examine, | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/448,856

Art Unit: 2811

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the examiner should withdraw the finality of the office action due to the typographical error, which was recited in earlier office action. ("The typographical error should be used as a basis for withdrawing the finality of the office action")

The issues regarding the 112 rejection are identical whether they are presented in claim 44 or in claim 48. The mere mis-citation of the claim number should not make the rejection unclear. Therefore, the finality of the office action should be maintained.

Applicant argues that figure 2F provides support for the claimed limitation of a SiC tub located within a trench and located proximate CMOS devices.

Applicant further argues that although the specification does not specifically state that the configuration of figure 3A could also encompass the embodiment of figure 2F, the specification taken as a whole reasonably conveys to an artisan that such configuration is within the scope of the invention.

Although figure 2F provides support for a SiC tub located within a trench, there is no support in the specification for a semiconductor device comprising a SiC tub located within a trench, and a CMOS device having a tub comprising a material different from the silicon carbide tub and located on the substrate, as recited in claim 44. Figure 2F describes a SiC tub located within a trench in a SiC substrate without having a CMOS device having a tub. The device

Application/Control Number: 09/448,856

Art Unit: 2811

described in the embodiment of figure 3 includes a SiC tub located above the substrate, and a CMOS device located in a silicon substrate. Forming a device comprising a SiC tub located within a trench (i.e. the tub must be located inside a substrate of an undisclosed material), and a CMOS device on the substrate and having a tub comprising a material different from the silicon carbide tub, as recited in claim 44, is not described in the specification. Even if the specification is taken as a whole, it would not convey to an artisan that a configuration wherein the formation of a device comprising a SiC tub located within a trench and a CMOS device on the substrate and having a tub comprising a material different from the silicon carbide tub, conveys to an artisan that such configuration is within the scope of the invention is adequately described in the specification.

The rest of Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 44-47 and 49-54 were adequately addressed in previous office action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notic published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax

Application/Control Number: 09/448,856

Art Unit: 2811

Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used <u>only</u> for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8138**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

O.N. January 20, 2004 ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

On Nand